



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/782,017	02/14/2001	Hiroshi Kamiya	Q63036	3808

7590 07/25/2006
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20037

EXAMINER

THEIN, MARIA TERESA T

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3627

DATE MAILED: 07/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/782,017

Applicant(s)

KAMIYA, HIROSHI

Examiner

Marissa Thein

Art Unit

3627

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 April 2006.
2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-37 is/are rejected.
7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on April 24, 2006 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

Applicants' "Request for Continued Examination" filed on April 24, 2006 has been considered.

New claim 37 is added. Claims 1-37 are pending in this application and an action on the merits follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 4, 7, 10, and 13-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,578,014 to Murcko, Jr. in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,926,325 to Benton et al.

Regarding claims 1, 4, 7, 10, and 37, Murcko discloses a commodity order issuing and accepting method, system and apparatus comprising:

- providing an order issuing device of electronic communications (buyer's interface, modem; Figure 1);
- providing an order accepting device capable of electronic communications (seller interface, modem; Figure 1);
- the requesting a first electronic document comprising first input fields for accepting order issuer information and for accepting commodity order issuance information except for an order issuer's payment date from said order accepting device (Figure 14; Buyer Registration Form; col. 23, line 46- col. 24, line 7; col. 22, line 28);
- the transmitting the first electronic document from the order acceptor side to the order issuing device (Figure 14; Buyer Registration Form; col. 23, line 46- col. 24, line 7; col. 22, line 28);
- the inputting the order issuer information and the commodity order issuance information (Figure 14; Buyer Registration Form; col. 23, line 46- col. 24, line 7; col. 22, line 28);
- the transmitting the order issuer information and the commodity order issuance information except for said order issuer's payment date to said order accepting device (col. 23, line 61 – col. 24, line 2);
- the retrieving a transaction condition corresponding to the commodity order issuance information on the order accepting device (col. 22, lines 34-57); and

Art Unit: 3627

- the transmitting a second electronic document on which the transaction condition is described and which has a second input field for said order issuer's payment date to said order issuing device (Figure 18).

However, Murcko does not explicitly disclose inputting the order issuer's payment date and transmitting the order issuer's payment date. Murcko discloses payment date stores the date on which the buyer assigns the payment amount for this item (col. 16, lines 59-61). Furthermore in Figure 18, a payment date input field is shown, wherein the buyer sets the payment amount for an item, the date/time on which this happens will subsequently appear (col. 28, lines 26-30).

Benton, on the other hand, teaches the inputting the order issuer's payment date and transmitting the order issuer's (buyer) payment date (col. 9, lines 51-54; col. 16, lines 30-32; col. 16, lines 38-42). Benton teaches a system and method for carrying out funds data transfer between buyers and sellers in a common location, a local mode of operation, or at different locations in a remote mode (col. 2, lines 50-52; col. 2, lines 59-61). Benton further teaches the prompting of the buyer to enter the date and time of the proposed transaction (col. 16, lines 38-40).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the method, system, and apparatus of Murcko, to include the inputting and transmitting of the order issuer's payment date, as taught by Benton, in order to provide the buyer payment options (Benton col. 16, lines 30-33).

Regarding claims 13-20, Murcko substantially discloses the claimed invention, however, it does not explicitly disclose the order issuer's payment date is a proposed payment date and is manually entered by a user. Murcko discloses payment date stores the date on which the buyer assigns the payment amount for this item (col. 16, lines 59-61). Furthermore in Figure 18, a payment date input field is shown, wherein the buyer sets the payment amount for an item, the date/time on which this happens will subsequently appear (col. 28, lines 26-30).

Benton, on the other hand, teaches the order issuer's payment date is a proposed payment date and is manually entered by a user (col. 9, lines 51-54; col. 16, lines 30-32; col. 16, lines 38-42). Benton teaches a system and method for carrying out funds data transfer between buyers and sellers in a common location, a local mode of operation, or at different locations in a remote mode (col. 2, lines 50-52; col. 2, lines 59-61). Benton further teaches the buyer to elect to carry out the transaction immediately or prompting of the buyer to enter the date and time of the proposed transaction (col. 16, lines 38-40).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the method, system, and apparatus of Murcko, to include the order issuer's payment date is a proposed payment date and is manually entered by a user, as taught by Benton, in order to provide the buyer payment options (Benton col. 16, lines 30-33).

Regarding claims 21-32, Murcko discloses HTML format (col. 14, lines 62-63), Internet (col. 3, lines 58-59), and a transaction condition is a formula related to a commodity transaction (col. 27, line 57 – col. 28, line 14).

Regarding claims 33-36, Murcko discloses the order issuer's information is at least one of an order's issuer's name, an order issuer's address and an order issuer's telephone number (Figure 14).

Claims 2-3, 5-6, 8-9, and 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,578,014 to Murcko, Jr. and U.S. Patent No. 4,926,325 to Benton et al. as applied to claims 1,4 ,7, and 10 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,314,406 to O'Hagen .

Regarding claims 2-3, 5-6, 8-9, and 11-12, Murcko and Benton substantially discloses the claimed invention, however, the combination does not explicitly disclose the calculating reduced price corresponding to the transaction condition; transmitting a third electronic document on which the reduced price is described and which has means for imputing an authentication to said order issuing device; activating the means for inputting the authentication; and transmitting information representing that said means for inputting the authentication has been activated to said order accepting device.

O'Hagan teaches calculating a reduced price corresponding to the transaction condition (col. 21, lines 15-16; Figure 32; col. 23, lines 42-52; col. 28, lines 45-50; col. 32, lines 1-3). O'Hagan further teaches transmitting a third electronic document on which the reduced price is described and which has means for imputing an authentication to said order issuing device; activating the means for inputting the

Art Unit: 3627

authentication; and transmitting information representing that said means for inputting the authentication has been activated to said order accepting device (col. 17, lines 20-56; col. 28, lines 44-56).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the combination, to include calculating a reduced price, as taught by O'Hagan, in order to obtain a discount on a product (O'Hagan, col. 1, lines 39).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-36 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marissa Thein whose telephone number is 571-272-6764. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alex Kalinowski can be reached on 571-272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3627

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Mtot *msd*
July 24, 2006

Michael Cuff 7/24/06
MICHAEL CUFF
PRIMARY EXAMINER